**Action Items:**
- Please make sure to review the proposed changes to the GPSA’s structure that will be posted in the Slack

**Business Conducted:**
- Ian Tennison confirmed as an Associate Justice of the GPSA Judiciary
- Bill 71-16: GPSA Contribution to the Wellness Fund was passed to authorize a $3000 contribution to the UHS Wellness Fund for covering insurance shortfalls for students
- Bill 71-17: GWIS Empower Conference Co-Sponsorship was passed to co-sponsor the GWIS Empower Conference with $2500 towards speaker fees
- Resolution 71-09: Denouncement of Overlapping Credit Change to Graduate School’s Academic Policy GCAC 611 on Graduate Minors was passed which condemns proposed changes to policies around graduate minors
- Resolution 71-10: Designating Election Days as University Non-Instructional Days was passed which endorses changing election days to non-instructional days to encourage student voting and electoral participation

**Agenda**

I. Meeting called to order at 6:01 PM
II. Roll Call – See Appendix I
III. Adoption of the Agenda
   a. **Hannah** – motion to remove resolution 71-09 from agenda due to inaccuracies and not reflecting the full range of feedback from graduate and profession students, **Sandeep** seconds, **Claire** objects because she believes that it is well researched and thus brings the motion to a previous question, where the motion to remove resolution 71-09 fails (2 Yes, 25, No, 1 Abstention)
   b. Agenda adopted
   c. **Frankie** motions to reopen agenda to add confirmation of new Presidential appointments ahead of review of proposed changes
IV. Approval of Past Meeting Minutes from 11/10: Approved
V. Open Student Forum
VI. Special Presentation:
   i. **Questions and Comments**
VII. Executive Board Reports
   a. President – **Schönn Franklin**
i. Long meeting with Student Leader Scholarship committee recently, most people will get some amount but timing still TBD

ii. Meeting with Zack Moore about meeting with the Governor or his office about potentially appointing a graduate and professional student to the PSU Board of Trustees, as well as meetings with the leading Democrat and Republican running for Governor

iii. Student Fee Board
   1. Had meeting to discuss GPSA with presentation about what we’ve done and how we use our funds

iv. Sitting on interviews for Assistant/Associate Graduate Director for Student Life
   1. Focused on graduate and professional student health and wellness through the Graduate Dean’s office

v. Student Insurance Advisory Board
   1. Insurance is looking to hike premiums significantly, working with Ali and others to see if it’s possible that instead of a large premium hike that other parts (copays, deductibles) can be modified to avoid large changes to premium

vi. Development Officer for Graduate School
   1. One of my goals is to make graduate school more affordable, one way to do this is to fundraise for scholarship and other kinds of aid
   2. Funding for officer is secured, further steps to be announced next semester
   3. Shoring up money for students from diverse backgrounds is another goal of this officer, including working with HBCUs and historically Hispanic institutions and other institutions serving underrepresented minorities

vii. Also proposing revisions to structure of GPSA

viii. Questions and Comments
   1. Travis – there are two current open seats that the Governor has to fill?
      a. Schonn – Governor reappointed 2 out of 6, meeting’s goal is to discuss having one of those appointments being a student
      b. Travis – should we reach out to all candidates, not just the leading ones?
         i. Schonn – Any outreach to nominees from both parties would take place after any potential meeting with Governor and his office
   2. Ali – I’m unable to attend the supplementary insurance meeting, if someone can take my place please let me or Schonn know

b. Vice President – Jalen Jeter
   i. Legal and Compliance Committee meeting notes:
      1. PSU will be rolling out a new system for notifying faculty, staff and students of incidents upon campus. Timely Warning & “your right to know”
      2. Ideally would provide information more efficiently
      3. The software has more capability to allow those receiving messages an option upon how they’d like to receive them
   ii. OSMPR (Office of Sexual Misconduct Prevention and Response) student advisory board
1. Office Appearance – looking to due low cost renovations/ gearing towards more student facing and welcoming environment. Located in the boucke building
2. Marketing ideas- collaborating with CAPS and other offices on bringing more awareness to the office and programs.

c. Treasurer – Matt Billups
i. Available funds from Fee Board approximately $27k, some money transferred back and completed events not using full funds, available for spring and summer
ii. ASA office will not process additional check requests for reimbursements until January but will still process invoices
iii. Please don’t hesitate to reach out about vendors and payment
iv. Questions and Comments
   1. Claire – Matt was incredibly helpful and feel free to reach out
      a. Matt – if you’re in communication with any companies or stuff feel free to reach out

d. Secretary – Alex Zhao
i. Please notify if mispronouncing delegates’ names or if delegates enter the meeting after attendance has been taken.
ii. Committee chairs please send attendance records after each meeting.
iii. Sending out the newswire tomorrow, please submit any event announcements or graphics by noon.
iv. Please notify if you want to be excluded from public recordings.
   v. Questions and Comments

e. Faculty Senate – Lillian Schaeffer
i. Education equity committee- SRTE switch and concerns over backlash from students and faculty, but overall conclusion is that it will be minimal
ii. Land Acknowledgement/Indigenous Land statement
   1. Education Equity committee presented to full Faculty Senate about history behind this issue and had some indigenous faculty present potential solutions (ie tuition discounts for indigenous students, etc), very clear that solution should not be top down
   2. Still in discussion stages with no final solution yet
iii. President Barron discussed Innovation HUB, increasing enrollment, concerns from COVID
f. Graduate Council – Claire Kelling
i. Graduate Council has not yet met since last Assembly meeting, next meeting is next Wednesday, resolution to be considered later in this meeting will be important for that meeting

g. Speaker of the Assembly – Sandeep Krishnakumar
i. Wanted to send a note of appreciation to the committees, especially since this semester has been pretty exhausting and the transition to in-person was challenging
ii. On Friday there will be a bill for a co-sponsorship for the Iranian Graduate Student Association that will require an online vote due to the co-sponsorship notification requirements
h. Chief Justice – The Honorable Samuel Altland
i. Please make sure that you fill out the online vote in a timely manner

VIII. Confirmation of Presidential Appointment: Ian Tennison (Associate Justice)
a. First year graduate student in School of International Affairs, from Los Angeles and Egyptian-American, looking for ways to get involved in the Penn State community because I was heavily involved in undergrad (Principia College)
b. Heavily involved in representing international students, first generation American students, and especially those from non-Western backgrounds with a focus on special needs and language learning needs
c. Enjoyed this work because the impact was very tangible, as associate justice I would help give back by helping shape who the board is going to be, tangibly giving back while introducing myself to the larger system for future service
d. Questions
   i. Qian – What is the role you’re being nominated for?
      1. Schonn – Associate Justice
      2. Qian – How does your interest in helping international students tie into the role you’re being nominated for?
         a. Helping with the upcoming election
   ii. Travis motion to table until after review of proposed changes, Eli seconded
   iii. Resumed after review of proposed changes to GPSA structure
e. Discussion
   i. Motion to approve by roll call vote, seconded by several
f. Candidate Confirmed 27-0-1, see Appendix II for details

IX. Review of proposed changes to GPSA’s structure
a. This discussion is still in a proposal stage, we can make all or none of these changes but this is just a starting point
b. Changing Executive from board structure to branch structure
   i. President at top, VP would report directly to President, VP would function as head of the branches of the departments proposed
   ii. Creating 5 new departments, each responsible for different things and to increase the capacity of GPSA’s ability to work
      1. Dept of Communications – similar to current work of Secretary as Director of Communications
      2. Dept of Finance – similar to Treasurer as Director of Finance, hope to have 1-2 people under Director to learn the ropes and for backup
      3. New departments
         a. Environmental Sustainability – modeled after UPUA’s similar committee
            i. Works with relationships between GPSA and outside community/University related to climate and environmental issues
            ii. Work with other environmental oriented clubs and offices on campus related to climate/other similar issues
         b. Equity and Diversity
            i. Maintaining relationships with offices dealing with equity (Office of Educational Equity, OGEFP, BGSA, new
Assistant Director of Student Life), meeting with and generally maintaining relationships with these offices and organizations

ii. Goal is not to put on programming but maintaining relationships with offices on campus and helping A&D committee with connections and promoting events

c. Questions

i. **Frankie** – how would heads of the departments and other members would exist? Would they be appointed or elected?
   1. **Schonn** – something I want to discuss, UPUA and CCSG have people apply and submitting to Assembly to confirm nomination, or could be nominated

ii. **Niladri** – these departments don’t exactly correspond to existing GPSA committees right?
   1. **Schonn** – they are designed to complement the existing work of committees, and to be of assistance
   2. **Niladri** - different committees might have some overlap with these departments in different ways right?
      a. **Schonn** – yes

iii. **Matt** – do you anticipate members of the GPSA being part of the executive branch and also the committees or would they be different roles?
   1. **Schonn** - only one role rather than two hats
   2. **Matt** – how would this affect voting rights for what used to be the board
      a. **Schonn** – only directors and president and VP would be voting members of executive, they won’t vote in Assembly

iv. **Claire** – there are non-voting members of executive board that can vote in Assembly, would those current non-voting members still be non-voting members of the new executive department?
   1. **Schonn** – up for discussion, it would be good to have their perspective but it would be more meetings for them
   2. **Claire** – How is this different than a steering committee?
      a. **Schonn** – we can discuss how we want the steering committee to move forward
   3. **Claire** – would this increase the number of people in GPSA and by how much?
      a. **Schonn** – yes, but it would depend, directors would be able to appoint staff and members who don’t have to attend the Assembly meetings or can’t attend but still want to contribute to GPSA
      b. **Claire** – would we have staff in the departments?
         i. **Schonn** – yes, and it could be flexible depending on the directors and president
   4. **Travis** – From an executive board point of view, how do you think this would benefit the GPSA?
a. **Schonn** – speaking as President, the GPSA’s executive board doesn’t have a lot of depth, which can be changed by having staff that can do specific things, which can help also alleviate some of the legwork that would need to be done by committees

b. **Jalen** – echoing Schonn, believe you can expand the capacity of the executive with this structure

c. **Alex** – functionally no change except potentially whether I am elected or not

d. **Sam** – many members would already have defined roles, this just gives them the potential to have a deeper branch

e. **Claire** – I share some of Sam’s concern, I also find UPUA’s structure to be incredibly confusing, having a structure that is easy to understand is important, sometimes we struggle to find people willing to take on leadership positions, including finding contested elections for our biggest offices, so I am hesitant to add too many additional roles
   
i. **Schonn** – there has been a strain on finding candidates for our current positions, we need to do better outreach, but as it stands right now, we have multiple people who want to be part of GPSA but cannot make the meetings and if we can get them involved through this structure that would expand our capacity

ii. **Travis** – part of the GPSA is to be able to attend the Assembly meetings and know what’s going on

5. **Frankie** – if one of the heads of the departments were not filled, does it fall back on the President and VP?

   a. **Schonn** - President and VP do a lot of administrative support, with the department structure other orgs like UPUA can get a lot of people involved who are focused on a single issue, leading to a greater number of people working on important issues

d. **Schonn** – second component of change is to combine the Community Outreach and Programming committees together so we only have four standing committees

X. Bill 71-16: GPSA Contribution to the Wellness Fund

   a. Wellness fund exists for students who had no insurance or had a gap in their insurance, UPUA originally provided $10k but the fund is currently depleted, UPUA requesting that GSPA contribute to fund $3k, graduate and professional students can already utilize fund and have utilized it

b. **Questions**

   i. **Travis** – this is a good program, how have the funds been utilized? Any additional details (per visit payout, etc)

   1. **Claire** – no cap per application, don’t have any more information on the average amount, the way that UPUA structured it is that there’s no cap, being considered that this transition to long-term direct funding from student fee but that won’t be finalized for this year

   2. **Schonn** – we are obligated to find ways to address problems we find at
the university, this one was for paying for healthcare

3. **Alex** – probably more efficient to not come from us administratively

4. **Schonn** – it’s a pilot, which is a good way to structure

ii. **Alex** – can this fund be renamed so that people are more aware it’s open to everyone

iii. **Gerren** – it was depleted December 5, when was it established?

1. **Schonn** – originally established in September, funds not depleted on December 5 but by now it’s depleted

c. **Discussion**

i. **Claire** – not having a cap on individual requests might limit the number of students who can take advantage of the wellness fund, UPUA’s name being on it might discourage graduate and professional students from utilizing this fund

1. **Schonn** – will talk with Najee about the Wellness Fund generally

ii. **Matt** – it would be good to know how the funds are utilized going forward, but it shouldn’t stop us from participating in this fund now, clearly there is a need for it

iii. **Travis** – State College Borough Council supportive of this fund

iv. **Frankie** motions to roll call vote with seconds

d. **Bill Passed 27-0-1, see Appendix II for details**

Xi. **Bill 71-17: GWIS Empower Conference Co-Sponsorship**

a. **Claire** – sponsorship of GWIS Empower Conference including several keynote speakers, money will be used towards the speaker fee line item for Michael Blakey

b. **Maggie Hernandez** – annual professional development conference put on by GWIS, brings individuals interested in STEM from all walks of life, including graduates, undergraduates, and high school students, we want to properly compensate our speakers with honoraria, our hope is to be able to cover the speaker fees for one of the speakers, related to our conference theme about finding yourself in science, bringing speakers from a variety of disciplines and identities to discuss not only their identities but how they are incorporated into their research

c. **Discussion**

i. Motions to adjust amount for bill to $2500 instead of $2000 originally listed

ii. Motion to roll call vote and second

d. **Bill Passed 28-0-0, see Appendix II for details**

XII. **Resolution 71-09: Denouncement of Overlapping Credit Change to Graduate School’s Academic Policy GCAC 611 on Graduate Minors**

a. **Claire** – specific change being highlighted is about how no more than 3 credits to fulfill graduate minor can overlap with credits counted towards the student’s graduate major program, which would make it harder to get a graduate minor, the goal is to make the minors distinct but ultimately the burden would make it less likely to complete graduate minors, have negative impacts on recruitment and interdisciplinary collaboration, especially for students who might not feel as welcome in their home program

b. **Claire** – overall resolution calls on them to not do these changes or if so not make them retroactive and before any implementation to do benchmarking of this policy, vote on policy is upcoming in next Graduate Council meeting
c. **Claire** – this has been discussed for a long time in GPSA meetings, both in the spring and also on Slack:
   i. Making graduate minors more equal is not something that will result from this change due to existing uneven credits requirements across programs, won’t make it easier for anyone to get graduate minors, just makes it harder for all
   ii. Pursuing interdisciplinary graduate education should be the top concern, not just overlapping credits

d. **Questions**
   i. **Schonn** – With the proposed change, what would be the proportion of students affected?
      1. **Claire** – don’t know exact number affected or where, but it would only make it more difficult to get a graduate minor and not make it easier for any
      2. **Schonn** – why was this change proposed by the Graduate Council?
         a. **Claire** – most of the reasoning is that they want the minors to be separate credentials that require separate classes
   ii. **Sandeep** – do we know of any students that are actually in seeing this policy passed? In our discussions in meetings and in Slack it seemed like nobody was in favor of this change but some students having positive feedback were mentioned as well, is this resolution only communicating one single aspect and not representing the students who actually support this change?
      1. **Claire** – most of the support behind this change is about making it more even across the board, but I don’t think this is a compelling reason, and this policy will only make it harder to get a minor
      2. **Claire** – the role of the student government is to make a statement on behalf of the student body, even if it is not a unanimous opinion, I think there is a small percentage of students who support this change but the majority of graduate and professional students support this change

e. **Discussion**
   i. **Sam** – the reason for changing the policy seems vindictive, if the motivation is just about making it harder for some students, this doesn’t seem like a good policy at all, the solution shouldn’t just to be to make the situation worse for everyone
   ii. **Hannah** – I’m on the Graduate Council committee that is looking at this policy and has solicited feedback from every college on this policy, so I have some comments on this resolution:
      1. You always had to declare your minor before your comprehensive exam, the change is to have to declare before forming your committee so that one person can represent your minor
      2. The dual title degree policy has nothing to do with this policy
      3. There is always a policy where they will honor both and there is an effective date
      4. We got feedback from every college and almost every minor (even standalone), we have gotten written commitments from most programs that this wouldn’t substantially affect them, and the ones that do have
said they will apply exceptions

5. Concerns include some students were able to just get a minor without taking any additional classes, which undermines the quality of the minor because no additional skills were obtained

iii. Schonn – we should change the resolution to be accurate in-line with the specific changes to policy, but are we confident that this resolution has the support of the graduate and professional student body

iv. Niladri – once you make a change to policies to Graduate Council it is hard to reverse them, it would be good to understand where the feedback and what kind of feedback

1. Want to know about the student reactions from Hannah if possible
2. Once you bring this kind of policy, it will create confusion, I know personally a few people who dropped out of their minor programs because of this policy, the communications in the last change were not done well and have caused confusion
3. This is a big change on how many credits can overlap, there has to be a justification that isn’t just an internal justification, it has to serve the student community well, differing standards of minors across departments is fine because not only minors but departments for graduate students are different, so a uniform policy shouldn’t be applied and shouldn’t be something we are worried about
4. This change brings a lot of problems so there has to be a good enough justification for it

v. Ali - with full appreciation of the work that Hannah and her committee has done, Grad Council will do what Grad Council wants to do, the best case scenario is that this resolution indicates that GPSA wants the Grad Council to not implement this change next week, there should be conversation of who is most likely to be hurt by this policy

1. Our two most common minors are African American studies and Women, Gender, and Sexuality studies, so our minors are about building an academic space that lets us push on the boundaries of our disciplinary space
2. All that’s being asked for is more conversation before passing this change, because there are some real risks here

vi. Katie – I want to echo what Claire and Ali have said already, I was particularly concerned when this policy proposal was presented in Graduate Council was a focus on how graduate minors meant something and that students couldn’t get a graduate minor without additional coursework, when questions about whether benchmarking had been done the answer was no

1. As a personal example, I was accepted to two graduate programs and one of the reasons I came to Penn State was a restriction on graduate minor policies at the other institution, note also that dual title changes might also be coming down the pipeline following this
2. This has implications for incoming students but also for future recruitment of students

vii. Claire – although this policy is on graduate minors, it’s still extremely relevant to
dual titles since that’s coming down the pipeline and that this is being used to motivate a larger conversation on double counting broadly, and will have larger implications, we want this resolution to more broadly communicate our concerns

1. I don’t think a survey is necessary, if this were implemented this wouldn’t help any students except for allowing some students to uphold the rigor of their graduate minor program

2. Motion to remove reference to new requirements, seconded and passed

viii. Ashley – has this been conveyed to incoming students or whether it’s retroactive?

1. Claire – it’s already been communicated in Grad Council minutes but if adopted this would be more largely communicated

ix. Hannah – from our discussion I think this document is incomplete, and we just got an email saying that the vote on this policy is being delayed, motion to table (seconded)

1. Objection by Claire, the delay is only being requested and no guarantee that it will happen and that overall this document is in fact complete, bringing the motion to table to previous question

2. Motion to table fails (5 Yes, 17 No, 6 Abstain)

x. Motion to vote by roll call, seconded

f. Bill Passed 21-3-4, see Appendix II for details

XIII. Resolution 71-10: Designating Election Days as University Non-Instructional Days

a. Sandeep – the goal of this is to support UPUA’s similar resolution and survey to make election day a non-instructional day, which would make it easier for students to vote, also encouraging students to volunteering at polling stations and generally be more involved in the electoral process

b. Ali – this is also going up to conversation for Faculty Senate in February, so this is in the conversation of becoming a real change, will also be having a conversation about how to apply this to graduate and professional students who are also involved in research and not necessarily classes

c. Discussion

i. Schonn – UPUA has already passed similar legislation, I think that this is a good idea

ii. Motion by Sandeep to pass by roll call, seconded by multiple

d. Bill Passed 27-0-0, see Appendix II for details

XIV. Reports

a. Advocacy and Diversity – Megan Minnich

i. Just had our meeting and a presentation from Libby Jones about Off-Campus Student Services

ii. Still working on PRIDE Brunch for January 16, reserved on 25Live

b. Professional Development – Niladri Sekhar Mandal

i. No meeting since Thanksgiving, will meet this Friday about wrapping up this semester
ii. Search committee for Associate Director of Graduate Professional Development now in interview phase

c. Programming – Ama Agyapong
   i. Wrapping up this semester, one last event this semester (Virtual Coffee Hour) which will be advertised soon
   ii. Want to thank everyone who participated in Grad Cup
   iii. Questions and Comments
      1. Schonn – want to thank Ama for stepping up in Programming, amazing job, also want to thank entire Programming committee because everyone who participated said they had an amazing time

d. Community Outreach – Ram Neupane
   i. Met before Thanksgiving break, decided to do booster shot Lyft ride coupon legislation, but realized that students can sign up for the pharmacies that are easier for them, need to send out survey form first to decide go forward with this program
   ii. Meeting next week to discuss events for next semester

e. Internal Development – TBD
   i. No update

f. Liaison Reports
   i. Travis – State College Borough Council wants to express their understanding that many graduate and professional students stay in town for the holidays, and that if they need any resources that graduate and professional students are an important part of the community and to feel free to reach out
   ii. Alex – Transportation – no changes to RIDEPass until 2022-2023, case by case exceptions to exclusion criteria moving forward
      1. Niladri – CATA services have become more sparse and it’s severely affecting graduate and professional students, if either the Borough Council or Transportation committee can do anything about it, it would be greatly appreciated
      2. Schonn – Transportation committee are starting review which routes to restores next year
      3. Travis – Borough Council aware though they don’t have a lot of power, but CATA is getting community input of what routes are most essential
      4. Sandeep – issue of CATA frequency is a pretty big issue in the survey we sent out about RIDEPass, emailed a representative from CATA
   iii. Alex – Graduate Exhibition - Generally settled on virtual, using both the same platform as last year plus gather.town for live feedback and Q&A

g. Student Trustee – Janiyah Davis
   i. Not present

h. Advisor – Adam Christensen
   i. Housing and food insecurity survey being conducted
      1. Doing outreach to students who indicated they wanted resources
   ii. Schonn – I got the survey and wanted to comment on how comprehensive this survey is

XV. Comments for the Good of the Order
a. Schonn – tomorrow we have a meeting at 5:30PM for SIAB, need another person to attend via Zoom
b. Jalen – have a safe holiday and exam period
XVI. Meeting adjourned at 8:58 PM
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>School of Law</td>
<td>Eli Fields</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At-Large Delegate</td>
<td>Ama Agyapong (IGDP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At-Large Delegate</td>
<td>Yuki Takahashi (SIA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At-Large Delegate</td>
<td>Shakhzoda Tuychieva (Law)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At-Large Delegate</td>
<td>Sara Albrecht Soto (Liberal Arts)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of voters in Assembly:</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of voters present:</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abstain (A)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Veto</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>